



## MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

### Director's Office

Greg Gianforte, Governor  
Misty Ann Giles, Director

[doa.mt.gov](http://doa.mt.gov)

406.444.2460

[doadirector@mt.gov](mailto:doadirector@mt.gov)

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

Solicitation Title/Event Name:

Solicitation Number:

Solicitation Close Date:

Notice of Intent to Award Post Date:

Issuing Contracts Officer contact information:

The State intends to award a contract to the apparent successful offeror(s) of the above-mentioned solicitation. The Notice of Intent to Award shall not be considered a binding commitment by the state.

Under the Montana Procurement Act, the State has made the relevant scoring matrix/bid tab for the above-mentioned solicitation available for public inspection. Comments from the public regarding the proposed award must be submitted to the Contracts Officer listed above within this 7-day notice period.

### Apparent Successful Offeror(s)

### Unsuccessful Offeror(s)

Evaluated RFP Section	Possible Points	AECOM Technical Services	Atkins Réalis USA	Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments	Mead and Hunt, Inc	Michael Baker International	Nelson Engineering, a Salas O'Brien company	Schlenker & McKittrick Architects	Tetra Tech, Inc.
Scope of Work	Point Values								
Provision of Service	1250 points								
Methods	400 points	390	380	360	375	240	385	240	380
Work Plan	200 Points	190	180	170	180	150	170	150	190
Timeline	200 points	190	183	150	175	0	160	190	160
Photographs	450 points	440	435	270	300	400	415	400	430
Company Profile and Experience	1500 points								
Years in Business	350 points	340	350	333	350	350	333	333	350
Relevant Experience	350 points	340	275	300	332	275	340	275	350
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	780	715	600	775	500	785	500	800
Summary of Qualifications	500 points								
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	490	370	300	485	400	500	300	500
Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software	750 points								
File Management Process	750 points	750	740	625	730	700	750	525	750
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Technical Subtotal	4000	3910	3628	3108	3702	3015	3838	2913	3910
Cost Proposal	1000	636	1000	910	263	476	843	192	594
Total Points	5000	4546	4628	4018	3965	3491	4681	3105	4504
Bonus Points Possible	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	0	250
FINAL TOTAL	5250	4796	4878	4268	4215	3741	4931	3105	4754

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
<b>AECOM Technical Services</b>			<b>3959</b>
<b>Category</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded</b>
<b>Provision of Service</b>	<b>1250 points</b>		
Methods	400 points	390.00	Sound methods and practices. Well listed and detailed. Familiar with MT and 4 phase program.
Work Plan	200 Points	190.00	Comprehensive but only for first year. Covered all aspects and detailed for what should be expected
Timeline	200 points	190.00	Very detailed example for single facility and overall project.
Photographs	450 points	440.00	Detailed how they would photograph assets and consider military requirements
<b>Company Profile and Experience</b>	<b>1500 points</b>		
Years in Business	350 points	340.00	20 plus years of condition assessment but didn't describe hoe long they've been in business overall.
Relevant Experience	350 points	340.00	15 plus years of builder assessment. Entire team has ample experience in Builder assessments.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	780.00	Several ongoing multi year Contract and involvement in initial Builder program rollout
<b>Summary of Qualifications</b>	<b>500 points</b>		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	490.00	Extensive training and experience in program.
<b>Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software</b>	<b>750 points</b>		
File Management Process	750 points	750.00	Proprietary system interfaces directly. Backups and data validation multilayered quality control. Impressive system and well detailed.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	Similar scope

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
AtkinsRéalis USA			3935
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Provision of Service	1250 points		
Methods	400 points	380.00	Well explained. Can adjust team based on needs of facility. Discussed safety and
Work Plan	200 Points	180.00	Detailed 5 step process and included web based access interface. Comprehensive for entire project.
Timeline	200 points	183.00	Risk management and timeline alibi were detailed. Included aggressive assessment schedule that seemed high for project expectations.
Photographs	450 points	435.00	Asset photomap location tool is impressive and exceptional capability. Photo imposing on the floor plan may clutter the data. Addressed security concerns.
Company Profile and Experience	1500 points		
Years in Business	350 points	350.00	65 years in business
Relevant Experience	350 points	275.00	15 years in program with ample knowledge in facility assessment. Discusses subcontractor but doesn't explain relationship or when project referenced was completed. Not all of the subcontractors referenced have Builder experience.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	715.00	Team as a whole has ample experience but includes different projects from different timelines and subcontractors
Summary of Qualifications	500 points		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	370.00	Actual Contractor has leadership training but subcontractors doesn't discuss it's training.
Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software	750 points		
File Management Process	750 points	740.00	Well laid out process and includes multi tiered QC process.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	

Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
<b>Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments</b>		<b>3270</b>	
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Scope of Work	Point Values	Points Awarded	Justification Notes
<b>Provision of Service</b>	<b>1250 points</b>		
Methods	400 points	360.00	Referenced Builder manual guidance and multi disciplinary team members.
Work Plan	200 Points	170.00	Included DMA guidelines. Plan seemed out of sequence but contained good detail. Clear expertise in facility inspection. Didn't identify as much Builder specific experience.
Timeline	200 points	150.00	Timeline was hard to follow but contained adequate information.
Photographs	450 points	270.00	Several mentions of photography but didn't explain breakdown or use. Didn't identify content of photos or military requirements.
<b>Company Profile and Experience</b>	<b>1500 points</b>		
Years in Business	350 points	333.00	Established 39 years.
Relevant Experience	350 points	300.00	Program Manager has ample builder projects but other staff direct experience only has some relevant assessment experience but not necessarily direct to builder projects.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	600.00	Only have 2 relevant projects.
<b>Summary of Qualifications</b>	<b>500 points</b>		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	300.00	Only one staff had Builder training. Not mentioned anywhere else.
<b>Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software</b>	<b>750 points</b>		
File Management Process	750 points	625.00	Proposal Section wasn't organized well but adequate content.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	One builder reference and two FCA

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
<b>Mead and Hunt, Inc</b>			<b>3160</b>
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Scope of Work	Point Values	Points Awarded	Justification Notes
<b>Provision of Service</b>	<b>1250 points</b>		
Methods	400 points	375.00	Detailed response seems as expected.
Work Plan	200 Points	180.00	Comprehensive logic and detailed. Included phases to SOW.
Timeline	200 points	175.00	Sample included was only for first two years. Outline was reasonable and concise.
Photographs	450 points	300.00	Provided some photos but didn't discuss their use, procedure, content, etc. Didn't discuss Security requirements. Photos provided weren't great.
<b>Company Profile and Experience</b>	<b>1500 points</b>		
Years in Business	350 points	350.00	125 years in business
Relevant Experience	350 points	332.00	A lot of National Guard projects and some team members have extensive FCA projects. Completed many similar projects.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	775.00	Ample experience and directly relevant to Builder.
<b>Summary of Qualifications</b>	<b>500 points</b>		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	485.00	Directly discusses Builder training and staff that have completed. Extensive relevant training
<b>Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software</b>	<b>750 points</b>		
File Management Process	750 points	730.00	Process and program description for interface and discusses backup systems.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Michael Baker International			2345
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Scope of Work	Point Values	Points Awarded	Justification Notes
Provision of Service	1250 points		
Methods	400 points	240.00	Included risk assessment and safety. Mentioned will work with team to develop procedure. Didn't present level of information expected for workflow. Diagram wasn't detailed.
Work Plan	200 Points	150.00	Indicated workplan had 2021 information. Phasing for project isn't clear. Did discuss risk mitigation and internal calibration prior to each assessment.
Timeline	200 points	0.00	Didn't discuss or provide timeline. Somewhat discussed schedule in workplan.
Photographs	450 points	400.00	Described acceptable procedure for photo use and implementation. Did discuss secure areas process.
Company Profile and Experience	1500 points		
Years in Business	350 points	350.00	Over 50 years in business
Relevant Experience	350 points	275.00	Key staff with ample experience. However, one hasn't been hired as an FTE yet.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	500.00	Only two employees with limited Builder projects completed, one is still in progress.
Summary of Qualifications	500 points		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	400.00	Has an in house trainer but doesn't specify what training is offered to other team members.
Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software	750 points		
File Management Process	750 points	700.00	Describes 3 step process and integration to Builder system.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	One reference info is incorrect.

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
Nelson Engineering, a Salas O'Brien company			3827
Category	Possible Points	Points Awarded	Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded
Scope of Work	Point Values	Points Awarded	Justification Notes
Provision of Service	1250 points		
Methods	400 points	385.00	Described efficient and comprehensive. Mentions processing legacy data in addition which is an appreciated feature.
Work Plan	200 Points	170.00	Included breakdown of logistics and process. Didn't specifically detail QC stage but discussed throughout.
Timeline	200 points	160.00	Provided rough outline for project but and Itemized milestones but wasn't clear if this was project overll orspecific facility schedule.
Photographs	450 points	415.00	Included description of process and use for photographs. Included security protocols.
Company Profile and Experience	1500 points		
Years in Business	350 points	333.00	32 years in business. Very active in that timeframe.
Relevant Experience	350 points	340.00	Entire team has extensive background through multiple project.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	785.00	Specific Builder projects across multiple states
Summary of Qualifications	500 points		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	500.00	Fully trained with Builder specific training and background
Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software	750 points		
File Management Process	750 points	750.00	Documented full process with QC and management process
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	



Offeror (Company) Name:		Total Points Awarded:	
<b>Schlenker &amp; McKittrick Architects</b>		<b>3225</b>	
<b>Category</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded</b>
<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>Point Values</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Justification Notes</b>
<b>Provision of Service</b>	<b>1250 points</b>		
Methods	400 points	240.00	Described broad concept but no details and poorly organized. Description included procedures that wouldn't be feasible or relevant for project. Didn't address security protocols.
Work Plan	200 Points	150.00	Workplan described basics but lacked detail.
Timeline	200 points	190.00	Extensive detail in timeline and provided examples by year as described in the proposal
Photographs	450 points	400.00	Adequate description of use and implementation. Describes each discipline may have different capture tool making an inconsistent format.
<b>Company Profile and Experience</b>	<b>1500 points</b>		
Years in Business	350 points	333.00	over 40 years in business
Relevant Experience	350 points	275.00	Ample experience with National Guard projects
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	500.00	Lacking Builder specific experience
<b>Summary of Qualifications</b>	<b>500 points</b>		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	300.00	Core team lacks experience and didn't discuss trainings taken or training specifics for current team
<b>Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software</b>	<b>750 points</b>		
File Management Process	750 points	525.00	Use standard integration tool but didn't elaborate on process outside of that.
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	References provided weren't specific to Builder

Offeror (Company) Name:			Total Points Awarded:
<b>Tetra Tech, Inc.</b>			<b>3945</b>
<b>Category</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded</b>
<b>Scope of Work</b>	<b>Point Values</b>	<b>Points Awarded</b>	<b>Justification Notes</b>
<b>Provision of Service</b>	<b>1250 points</b>		
Methods	400 points	380.00	Very detailed and follows SOW. Included Risk mitigation and QC.
Work Plan	200 Points	190.00	Discusses QA/QC in every single step. Comprehensive plan
Timeline	200 points	160.00	Example timeline is extremely aggressive and does not align with States projected goals.
Photographs	450 points	430.00	Described process and function use was very detailed
<b>Company Profile and Experience</b>	<b>1500 points</b>		
Years in Business	350 points	350.00	Almost 60 years in business
Relevant Experience	350 points	350.00	Massive amount of experience in Builder and gov.
Relevant Past Projects	800 points	800.00	Ample experience in similar projects and management of current projects.
<b>Summary of Qualifications</b>	<b>500 points</b>		
BUILDER SMS Training	500 points	500.00	Has their own academy for training and is even training for future developments.
<b>Use of BRED/other BUILDER-compatible software</b>	<b>750 points</b>		
File Management Process	750 points	750.00	Proprietary software use for Builder integration. Description is top level
References	Pass/Fail	Pass	

FMO-RFP-2025-8257TBH									
BUILDER Facility Assessment									
Cost Worksheet									
Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's cost is \$20,000. Offeror B's cost is \$30,000. Offeror A would receive 300 points. Offeror B would receive 200 points (\$20,000/\$30,000) = 67% x 300 points = 200).									
Cost									
Points Available	1000								
Lowest Cost	\$338,761.06								
Vendor Name	Proposed Cost		Points Earned		Notes:				
AECOM Technical Services	\$532,593.76		636.1						
AtkinsRéalis USA	\$338,761.06		1000.0						
Bureau Veritas Technical Assessments	\$372,353.80		909.8						
Mead and Hunt, Inc	\$1,288,164.73		263.0						
Michael Baker International	\$711,880.51		475.9						
Nelson Engineering, a Salas O'Brien company	\$401,690.51		843.3						
Schlenker & McKittrick Architects	\$1,761,898.30		192.3						
Tetra Tech, Inc.	\$570,607.32		593.7						