

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Director's Office Greg Gianforte, Governor Misty Ann Giles, Director doa.mt.gov 406.444.2460 doadirector@mt.gov

NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

Solicitation Title/Event Name:

Solicitation Number:

Solicitation Close Date:

Notice of Intent to Award Post Date:

Issuing Contracts Officer contact information:

The State intends to award a contract to the apparent successful offeror(s) of the abovementioned solicitation. The Notice of Intent to Award shall not be considered a binding commitment by the state.

Under the Montana Procurement Act, the State has made the relevant scoring matrix/bid tab for the above-mentioned solicitation available for public inspection. Comments from the public regarding the proposed award must be submitted to the Contracts Officer listed above within this 7-day notice period.

Apparent Successful Offeror(s)

Unsuccessful Offeror(s)

| SAN Lab Testing SCORE SUMMARY WORKSHEET | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Category | Possible Points | Bode Cellmark | DNA Labs | Signature Science | |
| Additional evidence from cold cases with a sexual assault | | | | | |
| nexus in a tiered testing format which may include SNP | 100 | | | | |
| testing. | 100 | 100 | 75 | 95 | |
| List of all the different types of testing they currently perform. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| If no probative DNA profiles are obtained from kit swabs and | | | | | |
| there is secondary evidence, plan, and quote for serological testing of secondary evidence. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Offeror has the capacity to analyze at the minimum rate of 50- 60 test kits per month. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Participate in an external proficiency testing program from a test provider that has been approved by the ANSI National Accreditation Board referred to as ANAB and the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB). The Completion of the proficiency tests communicated to the ANAB to document compliance with this accreditation requirement. The document shall include the analyst, date of proficiency report, proficiency provider and result (satisfactory or unsatisfactory). <u>Accredited by ANAB or A2LA.</u> Analyze the samples at STR loci, using Qiagen's Investigator 24plex QS STR kit, Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer and GeneMapper ID-X software validated for forensic casework. Written or preferred secure electronic chain of custody record for any exchange of samples between personnel. Probabilistic genotyping interpretation using STRmix software | Pas/Fail Pass/Fail Pass/Fail 100 | Pass Pass Pass 95 | Pass Pass Pass 85 | Pass Pass Pass 95 | |
| must be performed on any applicable profiles. Should statistical calculations be required, a likelihood ratio (LR) must be calculated using STRmix probabilistic genotyping software. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| qPCR utilizing an AB 7500 Real Time PCR instrument and Promega PowerQuant (preferred), or Life Technologies Quant Trio, quantitation chemistry. MT-FSD reserves the right to request another instrument platform and chemistry based upon current utilization within MT-FSD laboratories, etc. | 100 | 85 | 94 | 94 | |
| Facility in US. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Participates in the FBI's Onsite Vendor Laboratory Visit Programs. | 200 | 200 | 0 | 200 | |
| Usage Reports, etc. | 100 | 100 | 74 | 100 | |
| Project Schedule. | 100 | 100 | 60 | 100 | |
| Project Plan. | 100 | 85 | 70 | 100 | |
| Demonstrated has appropriate staff and sufficient personnel/technology to process the volume of tests being sent by MT DOJ/DCI. Staff casework ratio. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Minimum of three references that are currently using or have previously used supplies and/or services of the type proposed in this RFP. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Company Profile and Experience. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Resumes. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | |
| Detailed disaster recovery plan for evidence and data. | Pass/Fail 200 | Pass 171.6 | Pass 127.1 | Pass 200 | |
| Cost Proposal | | 171.6 936.6 | 127.1 585.1 | 984 | |
| | 1000 | 330.0 | J0J. I | 904 | |
| Equal Pay for Montana Women Bonus Points | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| | | 986.6 | 635.1 | 1034 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SAKI Lab Testing

JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SAKI Lab Testing Individual Scoring Matrix

The evaluator will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria, which are worth a total of 1000 points: Offerors must achieve at least 75% of the technical score (before cost and bonus points) in order to be considered for an award. Compliance with Equal Pay for Montana is worth 50 bonus points.

| Offeror (Company) Name: Bode Cellmark Total Points Awarded: 986.6 | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Category | Possible Points | Points Awarded | Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded |
| Additional evidence from cold cases with a sexual assault nexus in a tiered testing format which may include SNP testing. | 100 | 100 | Proposol discussed tiered testing for cost savings degraded and limited DNA samples; flexibility in processing additional evidence. |
| List of all the different types of testing they currently perform. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| If no probative DNA profiles are obtained from kit swabs and there is secondary evidence, plan, and quote for serological testing of secondary evidence. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Offeror has the capacity to analyze at the minimum rate of 50- 60 test kits per month. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participate in an external proficiency testing program from a test provider that has been approved by the ANSI National Accreditation Board referred to as ANAB and the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB). The Completion of the proficiency tests communicated to the ANAB to document compliance with this accreditation requirement. The document shall include the analyst, date of proficiency report, proficiency provider and result (satisfactory or unsatisfactory). | / | Pass | |
| Accredited by ANAB or A2LA. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Analyze the samples at STR loci, using Qiagen's Investigator 24plex QS STR kit, Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer and GeneMapper ID-X software validated for forensic casework. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Written or preferred secure electronic chain of custody record for any exchange of samples between personnel. | 100 | 95 | They use electronic chain of custody which is what we preffered. |
| Probabilistic genotyping interpretation using STRmix software must be performed on any applicable profiles. Should statistical calculations be required, a likelihood ratio (LR) must be calculated using STRmix probabilistic genotyping software. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| qPCR utilizing an AB 7500 Real Time PCR instrument and Promega PowerQuant (preferred), or Life Technologies Quant Trio, quantitation chemistry. MT-FSD reserves the right to request another instrument platform and chemistry based upon current utilization within MT-FSD laboratories, etc. | 100 | 85 | Quant Trio is not preffered but is acceptable. |
| Facility in US. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participates in the FBI's Onsite Vendor Laboratory Visit Programs. | 200 | 200 | They participate in the FBI's OVP |
| Usage Reports, etc. | 100 | 100.0 | Batch all case files into negative cases, DNA potential, DNA without potential for CODIS. |
| Project Schedule. | 100 | 100.0 | Sufficient schedule |
| Project Plan. | 100 | 85.0 | Vague details |
| Demonstrated has appropriate staff and sufficient personnel/technology to process the volume of tests being sent by MT DOJ/DCI. Staff casework ratio. | Pass/Fail | Pass | 1:133 Ratio |
| Minimum of three references that are currently using or have previously used supplies and/or services of the type proposed in this RFP. | | Pass | |
| Company Profile and Experience. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Resumes. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Detailed disaster recovery plan for evidence and data. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Cost Proposal | 200 | 171.6 | |
| | 1000 | 936.6 | |
| | | 1 | |
| | | | |
| Equal Pay for Montana Women Bonus Points | 50 | 50.0 986.6 | |

JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SAKI Lab Testing Individual Scoring Matrix

The evaluator will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria, which are worth a total of 1000 points: Offerors must achieve at least 75% of the technical score (before cost and bonus points) in order to be considered for an award. Compliance with Equal Pay for Montana is worth 50 bonus points.

| Offeror (Company) Name: DNA Labs | | | Total Points Awarded: 635.1 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| Category | Possible Points | Points Awarded | Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded |
| Additional evidence from cold cases with a sexual assault nexus in a tiered testing format which may include SNP testing. | 100 | 75 | MVAC; most responses throughout the proposal did not clearly outline the requirements in the RFP |
| List of all the different types of testing they currently perform. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| If no probative DNA profiles are obtained from kit swabs and there is secondary evidence, plan, and quote for serological testing of secondary evidence. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Offeror has the capacity to analyze at the minimum rate of 50- 60 test kits per month. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participate in an external proficiency testing program from a test provider that has been approved by the ANSI National Accreditation Board referred to as ANAB and the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB). The Completion of the proficiency tests communicated to the ANAB to document compliance with this accreditation requirement. The document shall include the analyst, date of proficiency report, proficiency provider and result (satisfactory or unsatisfactory). | Pas/Fail | Pass | |
| Accredited by ANAB or A2LA. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Analyze the samples at STR loci, using Qiagen's Investigator 24plex QS STR kit, Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer and GeneMapper ID-X software validated for forensic casework. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Written or preferred secure electronic chain of custody record for any exchange of samples between personnel. | 100 | 85 | LIMS service is electronic; the response is lacking in detail |
| Probabilistic genotyping interpretation using STRmix software must be performed on any applicable profiles. Should statistical calculations be required, a likelihood ratio (LR) must be calculated using STRmix probabilistic genotyping software. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| qPCR utilizing an AB 7500 Real Time PCR instrument and Promega PowerQuant (preferred), or Life Technologies Quant Trio, quantitation chemistry. MT-FSD reserves the right to request another instrument platform and chemistry based upon current utilization within MT-FSD laboratories, etc. | 100 | 94 | Preffered PowerQuant used; format of the response made it difficult to find the information. |
| Facility in US. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participates in the FBI's Onsite Vendor Laboratory Visit Programs. | 200 | 0 | Could not locate in proposal |
| Usage Reports, etc. | 100 | 74.0 | Lacked sufficient detail |
| Project Schedule. | 100 | 60.0 | The schedule on page 23 takes the project out to 2029 which is beyond Grant funding |
| Project Plan. | 100 | 70.0 | Due to project schedule and lack of detail |
| Demonstrated has appropriate staff and sufficient personnel/technology to process the volume of tests being sent by MT DOJ/DCI. Staff casework ratio. | Pass/Fail | Pass | Casework is 1:23 Ratio |
| Minimum of three references that are currently using or have previously used supplies and/or services of the type proposed in this RFP. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Company Profile and Experience. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Resumes. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Detailed disaster recovery plan for evidence and data. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Cost Proposal | 200 1000 | 127.1 585.1 | |
| | | | |
| Equal Pay for Montana Women Bonus Points | 50 | 50.0 | |
| | | 635.1 | |
| | | | Offeror did not achieve 75% of the technical portion to be considered for an award. |

JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SAKI Lab Testing Individual Scoring Matrix

| Offeror (Company) Name: Signature Science | | | ompliance with Equal Pay for Montana is worth 50 bonus points. Total Points Awarded: 1034 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Category | Possible Points | Points Awarded | Mandatory Justification Comments for Points Awarded |
| Additional evidence from cold cases with a sexual assault nexus in a tiered testing format which may include SNP testing. | 100 | 95 | On page 12, great detail was provided for secondary testing |
| List of all the different types of testing they currently perform. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| If no probative DNA profiles are obtained from kit swabs and there is secondary evidence, plan, and quote for serological testing of secondary evidence. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Offeror has the capacity to analyze at the minimum rate of 50- 60 test kits per month. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participate in an external proficiency testing program from a test provider that has been approved by the ANSI National Accreditation Board referred to as ANAB and the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board (ASCLD/LAB). The Completion of the proficiency tests communicated to the ANAB to document compliance with this accreditation requirement. The document shall include the analyst, date of proficiency report, proficiency provider and result (satisfactory or unsatisfactory). | Pas/Fail | Pass | |
| Accredited by ANAB or A2LA. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Analyze the samples at STR loci, using Qiagen's Investigator 24plex QS STR kit, Applied Biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer and GeneMapper ID-X software validated for forensic casework. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Written or preferred secure electronic chain of custody record for any exchange of samples between personnel. | 100 | 95 | Lacked some detail but uses electronic chain of custody throughout the laboratory |
| Probabilistic genotyping interpretation using STRmix software must be performed on any applicable profiles. Should statistical calculations be required, a likelihood ratio (LR) must be calculated using STRmix probabilistic genotyping software. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| qPCR utilizing an AB 7500 Real Time PCR instrument and Promega PowerQuant (preferred), or Life Technologies Quant Trio, quantitation chemistry. MT-FSD reserves the right to request another instrument platform and chemistry based upon current utilization within MT-FSD laboratories, etc. | 100 | 94 | Not preffered method but acceptable. |
| Facility in US. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Participates in the FBI's Onsite Vendor Laboratory Visit Programs. | 200 | 200 | Annually since 2017 |
| Usage Reports, etc. | 100 | 100.0 | Thorough description |
| Project Schedule. | 100 | 100.0 | Good description; appropriate schedule for project |
| Project Plan. | 100 | 100.0 | |
| Demonstrated has appropriate staff and sufficient personnel/technology to process the volume of tests being sent by MT DOJ/DCI. Staff casework ratio. | | Pass | 1:13 ratio |
| Minimum of three references that are currently using or have previously used supplies and/or services of the type proposed in this RFP. | | Pass | |
| Company Profile and Experience. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Resumes. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Detailed disaster recovery plan for evidence and data. | Pass/Fail | Pass | |
| Cost Proposal | 200 1000 | 200.0 984.0 | |
| | | | |
| Equal Pay for Montana Women Bonus Points | 50 | 50.0 | |
| | | 1034.0 | |

SAKI LAB TESTING JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SCORING GUIDE

In awarding points to the evaluation criteria, the evaluator/evaluation committee will consider the following guidelines:

Superior Response (95-100%): A superior response is an exceptional reply that completely and comprehensively meets all of the requirements of the RFP. In addition, the response may cover areas not originally addressed within the RFP and/or include additional information and recommendations that would prove both valuable and beneficial to the agency.

Good Response (75-94%): A good response clearly meets all the requirements of the RFP and demonstrates in an unambiguous and concise manner a thorough knowledge and understanding of the project, with no deficiencies noted.

Fair Response (60-74%): A fair response minimally meets most requirements set forth in the RFP. The offeror demonstrates some ability to comply with guidelines and requirements of the project, but knowledge of the subject matter is limited.

Failed Response (59% or less): A failed response does not meet the requirements set forth in the RFP. The offeror has not demonstrated sufficient knowledge of the subject matter.

SAKI Lab Testing JUS-RFP-2025-0251R Cost Worksheet

Lowest overall cost receives the maximum allotted points. All other proposals receive a percentage of the points available based on their cost relationship to the lowest. Example: Total possible points for cost are 300. Offeror A's cost is \$20,000. Offeror B's cost is \$30,000. Offeror A would receive 300 points. Offeror B would receive 200 points (\$20,000/\$30,000) = 67% x 300 points = 200).

| | | | Cost |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Points Available | 200 | | |
| Lowest Cost | \$9,696.00 | | |
| | Proposed | Points | |
| Vendor Name | Cost | Earned | Notes: |
| Signature Science | \$9,696.00 | 200.0 | |
| Bode Cellmark | \$11,303.00 | 171.6 | |
| DNA Labs | \$15,260.00 | 127.1 | |
| Vendor # 4 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 5 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 6 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 7 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 8 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 9 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 10 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 11 | | #DIV/0! | |
| Vendor # 12 | | #DIV/0! | |
| | | | |

Scoring Calculator

| Superior High | 100.0% |
|---------------|--------|
| Superior Low | 94.0% |
| Good High | 94.0% |
| Good Low | 74.0% |
| Fiar High | 74.0% |
| Fair Low | 59.0% |
| Failed High | 59.0% |
| Failed Low | 0.0% |

Total Points Available

| Score | 100 |
|--------------------|----------|
| Superior (95-100%) | 94 - 100 |
| Good (75-94%) | 74 - 94 |
| Fair (60-74%) | 59 - 74 |
| Failed (0-59%) | 0 - 59 |

Technical Scoring Session

JUS-RFP-2025-0251R SAKI Lab Testing

Date: February 21, 2025 Time: 11:00-1:00

Location: Teams

Evaluation Committee Members: Michele Morgenroth, Becky Giono, Megan Ashton

Contracts Officer: Rhonda Peters

Order of Evalution:AlphabeticalScoring Method:Consensus